

Mixture-of-ITEs

François Grolleau

May 2023

1 The nonparametric model

Let X denote a random vector of pretreatment covariates, and Y the random variable denoting the individualized treatment effect (ITE). Let the random vector $(C_1, \dots, C_K)^T$ denote a one-hot encoded identifier for the cluster $k = 1, \dots, K$ an observation belongs to. We assume the following nonparametric data generating process.

Denoting $\rho_1(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[C_1|X]$, \dots , $\rho_K(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[C_K|X]$, the probability of an observation belonging to cluster $1, \dots, K$ respectively, we have

$$(C_1, \dots, C_K)^T | X \sim \text{Multinomial}(n = 1, k = K, p = (\rho_1(X), \dots, \rho_K(X))^T).$$

Denoting $q_k(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[Y|X, C_k = 1]$, and assuming these functions exist for all $k = 1, \dots, K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y|X] &= \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{P}(C_k = 1|X) \mathbb{E}[Y|X, C_k = 1] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \rho_k(X) q_k(X). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that given X , the random variable Y is sampled from a probability density $f_{Y|X}(y|x)$ with expected value $\mathbb{E}[Y|X = x] = \sum_{k=1}^K \rho_k(x) q_k(x)$. Consistent with the terminology of Jacobs et al. [1] and Jordan and Jacobs [2] we call “expert networks” the functions $q_k(\cdot)$, $k = 1, \dots, K$ and “gating network” the function $\{\rho(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^K$.

2 Fitting algorithm

To estimate $\{\rho_k(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^K$ we need posit a density for $f_{Y|X,K}(y|x, k)$. In the fitting algorithm below we posit that $f_{Y|X,K}(y|x, k)$ is a normal distribution.

Algorithm 1 The nonparametric EM-like procedure for estimating $\{\rho_k(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^K$.

Input: Data $(X_i, Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ the numbers of clusters.

Initialize the prior probabilities associated with the nodes of the tree as

$$g_{k,i} \leftarrow 1/K \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K,$$

and use the shorthand

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1,1} & \dots & g_{1,K} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{n,1} & \dots & g_{n,K} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Initialize the individual predictions from the expert networks e.g.,

$$\mu_{k,i} \sim \mathcal{U}_{[-1,1]} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K.$$

Iterate until convergence on G :

 Compute individual contributions to each expert's likelihood as

$$L_{k,i} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}(Y_i | \mu = \mu_{k,i}, \sigma^2 = 1) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K.$$

 Compute the posterior probabilities associated with the nodes of the tree as ▷ E-step

$$h_{k,i} \leftarrow \frac{g_{k,i} L_{k,i}}{\sum_{l=1}^K g_{l,i} L_{l,i}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K.$$

 For each expert network fit $\hat{q}_k(\cdot), k = 1, \dots, K$ separately ▷ M-step
 with a weighted nonparametric classifier with features X_i , labels Y_i and weights $h_{k,i}$.

 For the gating network jointly fit $\{\hat{\rho}_k(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^K$ as a multiclass classification problem with features X_i , and labels $(h_{1,i}, \dots, h_{K,i})$.

 Update the predictions from the expert networks as

$$\mu_{k,i} \leftarrow \hat{q}_k(X_i) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K.$$

 Update the prior probabilities associated with the nodes of the tree as

$$g_{k,i} \leftarrow \hat{\rho}_k(X_i) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K.$$

Return: $\{\hat{\rho}_k(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^K$

References

- [1] Robert A Jacobs et al. “Adaptive mixtures of local experts”. In: *Neural computation* 3.1 (1991), pp. 79–87.
- [2] Michael I Jordan and Robert A Jacobs. “Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the EM algorithm”. In: *Neural computation* 6.2 (1994), pp. 181–214.